

REMARKS

Applicants acknowledge receipt of the Examiner's Office Action dated October 30, 2007. Applicants have amended Claims 1, 7 and 15. Applicants have cancelled Claim 21.

Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1, 7, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The Examiner has alleged that the language "pre-authorized by a system administrator" was not described in the specification. In the interest of expediting the prosecution of the present application, Applicants have amended Claims 1, 7 and 15 to remove the recitations of "pre-authorized by a system administrator". Applicants respectfully reserve the right, however, to demonstrate from the specification support for "pre-authorized by a system administrator" in the context of later prosecution of the present application or in prosecution of a continuing application.

Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-4, 7-10, and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sassin et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,058,435 (Sassin) in view of Crowther et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,771,765 (Crowther). Claims 5-6, 11-12, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sassin in view of Crowther and further in view of Miloslavsky, U.S. Patent No. 5,915,011 (Miloslavsky). The Examiner has additionally indicated the allowability of the subject matter of Claim 21. In the interest of expediting the prosecution of the present application, Applicants have amended Claims 1, 7 and 15 to recite the limitations of Claim 21.

Applicants believe that the amendment of Claims 1, 7 and 15 resolves the Examiner's rejection of Claims 1-20. Applicants respectfully reserve the right, however, to demonstrate, either in later prosecution of the present application or in the prosecution of a continuing application that the cited references are not prior art with respect to the present application or that the combinations of the cited references do not render obvious the present invention.

CONCLUSION

Applicants submit that all claims are now in condition for allowance, and an early notice to that effect is earnestly solicited. Nonetheless, should any issues remain that might be subject to resolution through a telephonic interview, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned.

If any extensions of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) are required in order for this submission to be considered timely, Applicant hereby petitions for such extensions. Applicant also hereby authorizes that any fees due for such extensions or any other fee associated with this submission, as specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or § 1.17, be charged to deposit account 502306.

Respectfully submitted,



Eric A. Stephenson
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 38,321
Telephone: (512) 439-5093
Facsimile: (512) 439-5099